arXiv:1603.01988v2 [math.CV] 11 Mar 2016

INVARIANT SUBSPACES GENERATED BY A SINGLE FUNCTION IN THE POLYDISC

BEYAZ BAŞAK KOCA AND NAZIM SADIK

Abstract. In this study, we partially answer the question left open in Rudin's book [6] on the structure of invariant subspaces of the Hardy space $H^2(U^n)$ on the polydisc U^n. We completely describe all invariant subspaces generated by a single function in the polydisc. Then, using our results, we give the unitary equivalence of this type of invariant subspace and a characterization of outer functions in $H^2(U^n)$.

1. Introduction

In his book [6, p.78], Rudin posed the question: "One may ask for a classification or an explicit description (in some sense) of all invariant subspaces of $H^2(U^n)$." For $n = 1$, Beurling showed, in his well-known paper [2], that every invariant subspace M of the Hardy space $H^2(U)$ on the unit disc U is of the form $M = fH^2(U)$ for some inner function f, i.e., is generated by a single inner function. This type of invariant subspace is called the Beurling type. For $n \geq 2$, the structure of invariant subspaces of $H^2(U^n)$ is much more complicated. It is clear that the subspaces generated by an inner function are also invariant in $H^2(U^n)$. However, it follows from a result of Jacewicz [3] that there is an invariant subspace of $H^2(U^2)$ that is generated by two functions and cannot be generated by any single function. In addition, Rudin [6] also showed that there are invariant subspaces of $H^2(U^2)$ that are not even finitely generated. One may ask what additional conditions are required for an invariant subspace to be generated by a single function? Sadikov [7] described the Beurling type invariant subspace of $H^2(U^n)$ in terms of the classical theorem of Beurling-Lax-Halmos (see, [5, Corollary 3.26, p.53]) by considering the space $H^2(U^n)$ as the vector-valued analytic functions on the unit disc. Agrawal, Clark, and Douglas [1] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for Beurling type invariant subspaces in terms of the unitary equivalence of invariant subspaces, while Mandrekar [4] gave a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of the double commutativity of shifts. More generally, Sarkar, Sasane, and Brett [8] showed that an invariant subspace of vector-valued Hardy space satisfying the doubly commuting property has a Beurling-Lax-Halmos representation.

In this study, we consider the following questions:

1) What is the complete characterization of the function $f \in H^\infty(U^n)$ for the subspace $fH^2(U^n)$ of $H^2(U^n)$ to be invariant?
2) Is every singly generated invariant subspaces of $H^2(U^n)$ Beurling type?

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A35; Secondary 47A15.

Key words and phrases. Invariant subspace, singly-generated, Hardy space, polydisc, outer function, inner function, unitarily equivalence.

These questions are answered by Theorems (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. Then, we study some properties of singly invariant subspaces (inclusions and unitary equivalence). Moreover, a characterization of outer functions in $H^2(U^n)$ is given as in the one-variable case.

Before beginning, let us recall some required facts.

Throughout this paper, n is a positive integer, and $\mathbb{C}^n$ is the vector space of all ordered n-tuples $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ of complex numbers with inner product $\langle z, w\rangle = \sum z_i \bar{w}_i$, norm $|z| = \langle z, z\rangle^{1/2}$, and corresponding polydisc

$$U^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_i| < 1, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n\},$$

whose distinguished boundary is the torus

$$T^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_i| = 1, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

If f is in $L^1(T^n)$, the space of all functions integrable with respect to normalized Lebesgue measure m_n on T^n, then its Poisson integral $P[f]$ is the function

$$P[f] = \int_{T^n} P(z, w) f(w) dm_n(w), \;\; z \in U^n,$$

where $P(z, w)$ is the Poisson kernel.

If f is any function in U^n and $0 \leq r < 1$, f_r will denote the function defined on T^n by $f_r(w) = f(rw)$. Then the function f^* is defined as

$$f^*(w) = \lim_{r \to 1} f_r(w)$$

at every $w \in T^n$ at which this radial limit exists.

The Hardy space on the polydisc $H^2(U^n)$ is defined as the class of all holomorphic functions f in U^n for which

$$||f||_2 = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left\{ \int_{T^n} |f_r|^2 dm_n \right\}^{1/2} < \infty.$$

It is well known that if $f \in H^2(U^n)$, then the radial limit

$$f^*(w) = \lim_{r \to 1} f_r(w)$$

exists for almost all $w \in T^n$, and

$$\lim_{r \to 1} \int_{T^n} |f_r - f^*|^2 dm_n = 0.$$

As usual, we also treat $H^2(U^n)$ as a closed subspace of $L^2(T^n)$, the standard Lebesgue space of square-integrable functions on T^n. $H^\infty(U^n)$ is the space of all bounded holomorphic functions f in U^n; $||f||_\infty = \sup_{z \in U^n} |f(z)| < \infty$. An inner function in U^n is a function $f \in H^\infty(U^n)$ with $|f^*| = 1$ a.e. on T^n. A function $f \in H^2(U^n)$ is said to be outer if

$$\log |f(0)| = \int_{T^n} \log |f^*| dm_n.$$

Recall that a subspace M of $H^2(U^n)$ is called "invariant" if (a) M is a closed linear subspace of $H^2(U^n)$ and (b) $f \in M$ implies $z_i f \in M$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$; i.e., multiplication by the variables $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ maps M into M. The smallest invariant subspace of $H^2(U^n)$ which contains a given f is denoted by $M(f)$ and $M(f)$ is called the subspace generated by f if $M(f) = fH^2(U^n)$.

The following theorem is required in this study.

Theorem 1.1. [6, Theorem 3.5.3, p.55] *If ψ is positive, bounded, and lower semi-continuous on T^n, then $\psi = |f^*|$ a.e., for some $f \in H^\infty(U^n)$.*

For further information on Hardy space on the polydisc, see [6].

2. Main Results

It is clear that the subspace $\varphi H^2(U^n)$ of $H^2(U^n)$ with some inner function φ is invariant. It is natural to ask whether there exists any function f that is not necessarily inner such that $fH^2(U^n)$ is invariant. The following theorem describes the class of all such functions. Before starting, we give the following definition.

Definition 2.1. A function $f \in H^\infty(U^n)$ with $f^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$ is called a generalized inner function.

Here, it is clear that $f^{-1} = 1/f^*$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in H^\infty(U^n)$. The subspace $fH^2(U^n)$ of $H^2(U^n)$ is invariant if and only if f is a generalized inner function.*

Proof. Let M_f denote the bounded linear operator on $H^2(U^n)$ given by $M_f(g) = fg$ for any $g \in H^2(U^n)$. Suppose that the subspace $fH^2(U^n)$ is invariant. Since $\mathrm{Ker}M_f = \{0\}$ and the image of M_f, $fH^2(U^n)$ is closed, M_f is bounded below, that is, there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that $||M_f g||_2 \geq \delta ||g||_2$ for any $g \in H^2(U^n)$. Then $|f| \geq \delta$ a.e. on T^n. In fact, assume that $m_n\{\xi \in T^n : |f| < \delta\} > 0$. Then $m_n\{\xi \in T^n : |f| < \delta_0\} > 0$ for some $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta)$. Let us fix such a δ_0 and put $E = \{\xi \in T^n : |f(\xi)| < \delta_0\}$. We can construct a sequence of continuous functions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ defined on T^n such that $0 < \varphi_j \leq 1$ and $\lim_{j\to\infty} \varphi_j = \chi_E$ a.e. on T^n, where χ_E denotes the characteristic function of E. By Theorem (1.1) for each j there exists a function $g_j \in H^\infty(U^n) \subset H^2(U^n)$ such that $|g_j| = \varphi_j$ a.e. on T^n. We get

$$\delta^2 \int_{T^n} |g_j|^2 dm_n \leq \int_{T^n} |f|^2 |g_j|^2 dm_n$$

for all j. Applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\delta^2 m_n(E) \leq \int_E |f|^2 dm_n \leq \delta_0^2 m_n(E) < \delta^2 m_n(E),$$

and we get a contradiction. Hence $|f| \geq \delta$ a.e. on T^n, i.e., $f^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$. Conversely, suppose that $f^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$. It is clear that for the invariance of $fH^2(U^n)$, it is enough to show the closedness of the subspace $fH^2(U^n)$. For this, we show that M_f is bounded below, that means there exists a number $c > 0$ such that $||M_f g||_2 \geq c||g||_2$ for any $g \in H^2(U^n)$. In fact, for any $g \in H^2(U^n)$ we obtain

$$||g||_2 = ||f^{-1}fg||_2 \leq ||f^{-1}||_\infty \cdot ||fg||_2 = ||f^{-1}||_\infty \cdot ||M_f g||_2,$$

and the proof is complete. □

Remark that this theorem shows that every singly generated invariant subspaces of $H^2(U^n)$ is generated by a generalized inner function. It is clear that the class of inner functions is properly contained in the class of generalized inner function. It is natural to ask whether every singly generated invariant subspaces is generated by an inner function, i.e., is Beurling type. The following construction leads to an affirmative answer:

Theorem 2.3. *There exists a generalized inner function f such that $fH^2(U^n) \neq IH^2(U^n)$ for any inner function I.*

Proof. We can take a function $h \in C(T^n)$ such that $h > 0$ everywhere and the Poisson integral of $\log h$ is not pluriharmonic. By Theorem (1.1), there exists a function $f \in H^\infty(U^n)$ such that $|f^*| = h$ almost everywhere on T^n. Suppose that $fH^2(U^n) = IH^2(U^n)$ for some inner function I. Then, $fI^{-1}H^2(U^n) = H^2(U^n)$, hence fI^{-1} is outer by [6, p.74, Theorem 4.4.6]. It follows that the equality

$$\operatorname{Re}\log(fI^{-1}) = \log|fI^{-1}| = P[\log|(fI^{-1})^*|] = P[\log|f^*|] = P[\log h],$$

is satisfied, showing that $P[\log h]$ is pluriharmonic, and we get a contradiction, and the proof is complete. □

Remark 2.4. By the proof of Theorem (2.3), we have the function $f \in H^\infty(U^n)$, $f \not\equiv 0$ and $|f^*| = h$ a.e. on T^n, where h is continuous on T^n. Then, by [6, Theorem 5.4.5, p. 121], f has the same zeros as some inner function u. This means that $f = f_1 u$, f_1 is holomorphic in U^n, and f_1 has no zero in U^n. However, since $P[\log|f^*|] = P[\log h]$ is not the real part of any holomorphic function in U^n, f can not be written as the product of an inner function and an outer function by [6, Exercise 5.5.7 (a), p.130].

Proposition 2.5. *Let f_1 and f_2 be two generalized inner functions. The invariant subspaces $f_1H^2(U^n)$ and $f_2H^2(U^n)$ satisfy the following conditions:*

(a) *$f_1H^2(U^n) \subset f_2H^2(U^n)$ if and only if $f_1f_2^{-1} \in H^\infty(U^n)$ with $f_2f_1^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$.*
(b) *$f_1H^2(U^n) = f_2H^2(U^n)$ if and only if $f_1f_2^{-1}, f_2f_1^{-1} \in H^\infty(U^n)$.*

Proof. (a) Assume that $f_1H^2(U^n) \subset f_2H^2(U^n)$. Then, $f_1 \in f_2H^2(U^n)$ and thus $f_1 = f_2g$ for some $g \in H^2(U^n)$. Moreover, $f_1 \in H^\infty(U^n)$ and $f_2^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$ gives $g = f_1f_2^{-1} \in H^\infty(U^n)$. On the other hand, if $f_1^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$, then $g^{-1} = f_1^{-1}f_2 \in L^\infty(T^n)$. Conversely, if $f_1 = f_2h$, where $h \in H^\infty(U^n)$ with $h^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$, then $f_1f = f_2(hf)$ for any $f \in H^2(U^n)$ and therefore $f_1H^2(U^n) \subset f_2H^2(U^n)$.
(b) It is easily seen from (a).

□

Beurling's theorem also states (in the one-variable case) that f is outer if and only if $fH^2(U) = H^2(U)$. Rudin proved that one part of this theorem holds, if $n > 1$ ([6, Theorem 4.4.6, p.74]: f is outer, if $fH^2(U^n) = H^2(U^n)$), but he also showed in [6, Theorem 4.4.8 (b), p.76] that there exists an outer function $f \in H^2(U^2)$ such that $fH^2(U^2) \neq H^2(U^2)$, which implies that the converse of the theorem fails if $n > 1$. The following result gives a class of functions for which Beurling's theorem holds for $n > 1$.

Corollary 2.6. *If f is a generalized inner function, then f is outer if and only if $fH^2(U^n) = H^2(U^n)$.*

Proof. If $fH^2(U^n) = H^2(U^n)$, then that the function f is outer is obvious by [6, Theorem 4.4.6, p.74]. Conversely, suppose that f is outer. Then, by [6, p.73],

$$\log|f| = P[\log|f^*|] \tag{2.1}$$

which implies that f has no zero in U^n, hence f^{-1} is analytic in U^n. On the other hand, multiplying equality (2.1) by (-1), we obtain that the same equality is true

for f^{-1}, that is, $\log|f^{-1}| = P[\log|(f^{-1})^*|]$. Since $(f^*)^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$, $\log|(f^{-1})^*| \in L^\infty(T^n)$, and then its Poisson integral $P[\log|(f^{-1})^*|]$ is bounded on U^n by [6, Theorem 2.1.3, p.18]. Hence, f^{-1} is bounded on U^n, and $f^{-1} \in H^\infty(U^n)$. Applying Proposition (2.5) (b) to $f_1 = f$ and $f_2 = 1$, we have $fH^2(U^n) = H^2(U^n)$. □

Agrawal, Clark, and Douglas [1] studied the question of unitary equivalence of invariant subspaces of $H^2(U^n)$. Two invariant subspaces M_1 and M_2 are said to be unitarily equivalent, if there is a unitary operator $U : M_1 \to M_2$ such that $U(\theta f) = \theta(Uf)$, for $\theta \in H^\infty(U^n)$ and $f \in M_1$. Under the assumption of full range of an invariant subspace M (for definition, see [1, p.5]), they showed that all invariant subspaces N of $H^2(U^n)$ unitarily equivalent to M are of the form φM for some inner function φ. However, the Beurling type invariant subspaces do not have full range [1, Remark 3, p.6], and Mandrekar proved unitary equivalence of this type of invariant subspace in [4, Theorem 4]. In the following theorem, which covers to Mandrekar's result, we now consider the unitary equivalence of the singly generated invariant subspaces.

Theorem 2.7. (a) *Let f_1 and f_2 be two generalized inner functions. The invariant subspaces $f_1H^2(U^n)$ and $f_2H^2(U^n)$ are unitarily equivalent if $|f_1| = |f_2|$ a.e. on T^n.*

(b) *Any invariant subspace M of $H^2(U^n)$ unitarily equivalent to a singly generated invariant subspace is also singly generated.*

Proof. (a) Let $|f_1| = |f_2|$ a.e. on T^n. Taking the multiplication operator $M_\psi : f_1H^2(U^n) \to f_2H^2(U^n)$, where $\psi := f_2f_1^{-1}$ -unimodular function, as a desired unitary operator, we easily obtain unitary equivalence of these subspaces.

(b) If the invariant subspace M of $H^2(U^n)$ unitarily equivalent to $fH^2(U^n)$ for some generalized inner function f, then, by Lemma 1 in [1] there exists a unimodular function ψ such that $M = \psi fH^2(U^n)$ and so, the subspace M is an invariant subspace generated by $g := \psi f \in H^\infty(U^n)$ with $g^{-1} \in L^\infty(T^n)$.

□

It is well known that every nonzero function f in $H^2(U)$ has a factorization $f = gh$, where g is inner and h is outer. This is no longer true for $H^2(U^n)$ for $n > 1$ [6, Theorem 4.2.2., p.65]. One can naturally asked whether a generalized statement may hold true, namely, every nonzero function f in $H^2(U^n)$ can be written as the product $f = gh$, where h is still an outer function, but where g is now a *generalized* inner function. According to [6, Theorem 4.1.1, p. 60], there exists an f in $H^2(U^n)$, $f \not\equiv 0$ such that every bounded holomorphic function vanishing on the zero set of f is equal to 0 identically. Thus, the generalized factorization does not hold for such f. We do not know whether a generalized factorization holds true for arbitrary bounded $f \not\equiv 0$ on U^n:

Problem: Can every non-zero function f in $H^\infty(U^n)$ be written as the product $f = gh$, where g is a generalized inner function, h is an outer function?

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to A.B. Alexandrov for helpful discussions and comments.

References

[1] O. P. Agrawal, D.N. Clark, R.G. Douglas, *Invariant subspaces in the polydisk*. Pacific J. Math. 121 (1986), no. 1, 1-11.
[2] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*. Acta Math 81 (1948), 17 pp.
[3] C.A. Jacewicz, *A nonprincipal invariant subspace of the Hardy space on the torus*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 127-129.
[4] V. Mandrekar, *The validity of Beurling theorems in polydiscs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988) 145–148.
[5] H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal, *Invariant subspaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 77. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
[6] W. Rudin, *Function theory in polydiscs*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam 1969.
[7] N.M. Sadikov, *Invariant subspaces in the Hardy space on a polydisk that are generated by inner functions*. (Russian) Akad. Nauk Azerbaidzhan. SSR Dokl. 39 (1983), no. 3, 8–11.
[8] J. Sarkar, A. Sasane, B.D. Wick, *Doubly commuting submodules of the Hardy module over polydiscs*. Studia Math. 217 (2013), no. 2, 179–192.

Istanbul University Faculty of Science Department of Mathematics Istanbul, Turkey
E-mail address: basakoca@istanbul.edu.tr

Istanbul, Turkey
E-mail address: sadnaz@mail.ru